The Interrelationship of Helicase and Nuclease Domains during DNA Translocation by the Molecular Motor EcoR124I
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The type I restriction–modification enzyme EcoR124I comprises three subunits with the stoichiometry HsdR₂/HsdM₂/HsdS₁. The HsdR subunits are archetypical examples of the fusion between nuclease and helicase domains into a single polypeptide, a linkage that is found in a great many other DNA processing enzymes. To explore the interrelationship between these physically linked domains, we examined the DNA translocation properties of EcoR124I complexes in which the HsdR subunits had been mutated in the RecB-like nuclease motif II or III. We found that nuclease mutations can have multiple effects on DNA translocation despite being distinct from the helicase domain. In addition to reductions in DNA cleavage activity, we also observed decreased translocation and ATPase rates, different enzyme populations with different characteristic translocation rates, a tendency to stall during initiation and altered HsdR turnover dynamics. The significance of these observations to our understanding of domain interactions in molecular machines is discussed.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd.

Introduction

Enzyme activity can be classified by stand-alone structural domains with a particular polypeptide fold and containing specialised amino acid sequence motifs. While empirical measurements can be made using isolated domains, enzymes in nature are more typically found within larger molecular machines containing both domain fusions within a single polypeptide and domain interactions between separate polypeptides of the complex.¹ This higher-order assembly can result in very different catalytic activities for the complete machine when compared with the domains alone.² Unfortunately, the limitations of experimental measurements often lead enzymologists to take a reductionist approach, removing domains and/or subunits that may in fact impart a significant influence. Notable exceptions to this have been studies on bacterial restriction–modification (RM) enzymes.³ These systems protect the host cell from invasion by phage DNA and have evolved to be readily transferable;⁴ as such, they are relatively simple stand-alone machines that nonetheless have domains and...
features in common with more complex, but less tractable, DNA processing systems. In this study, we examined the Type I RM enzyme EcoR124I as a model system for the fusion of a nuclease domain with a helicase domain to address whether the linkage of these enzyme activities is completely passive or if there is a closer interrelationship.

The Type I RM enzyme EcoR124I comprises a complex between three protein subunits: HsdR, HsdM and HsdS. The HsdR subunit can be subdivided into three distinct domains (Fig. 1): an N-terminal nuclease domain (Region X) that contains motifs characteristic of a RecB family nuclease, a helicase domain that has the motifs and twin RecA-like folds characteristic of a superfamily-2 helicase and a C-terminal α-helical rich protein–protein interaction domain. Domain fusions are extremely common in nature; between 70% and 80% of eukaryotic proteins contain multiple domains, whereas the fraction in prokaryotes is 80% of eukaryotic proteins contain multiple domains, whereas the fraction in prokaryotes is 40%–70%. Among the helicases, nuclease chimeras are the most common: other helicase–nucleases include AddAB, Dna2 and WRN. We can therefore use Type I enzymes as model systems to address two general questions:

1. What effect do protein–protein contacts between subunits of a complex have on enzyme activity?

2. What effect does the presence of multiple domains within a subunit have on enzyme activity?

Studies on EcoR124I have provided answers to the first question. In isolation, the HsdR subunit has a suboptimal, non-specific ATPase activity, and site-specific DNA recognition and cleavage are not possible. Therefore, HsdR cannot act alone as a restriction endonuclease. To fulfill its role, it must be loaded onto the DNA by a specifically bound core methyltransferase that comprises the complex HsdM2:HsdS1. Once loaded, the HsdR subunit has multiple enzyme activities, a more vigorous ATPase that is coupled to DNA translocation with one ATP consumed for every base pair moved, a loop translocase activity that causes movement over thousands of base pairs along the 3′–5′ strand of duplex DNA and a DNA cleavage activity that is typically activated upon collision with a converging HsdR motor. Thus, assembly of a complete hetero-oligomeric protein machine is required to fully activate the HsdR subunit. This assembly process may have a role in the in vivo control of promiscuous nuclease activity.

To answer the second question on the interrelationship of connected domains and to further understand Type I RM enzymes, we wished to address whether there is any cross-talk between the nuclease and helicase activities of the HsdR polypeptide during translocation. Previous studies on the nuclease motif of the Type I RM enzyme EcoKI suggested that at least one nuclease mutation in this domain could significantly affect motor activity.

To address this possibility in more depth, we made previously uncharacterised mutations of EcoR124I HsdR in motifs II and III of the RecB family nuclease (Fig. 1). The activity of these mutants was then analysed within the context of the complete RM machine using both single-molecule and bulk-solution techniques. We show that mutations in these motifs that reduced or removed DNA cleavage activity also had profound effects on motor protein activity. This suggests a close interrelationship between domains of the same polypeptide.

Results

Mutagenesis of motifs II and III of Region X of EcoR124I HsdR

Based on biochemical and bioinformatic analyses (Fig. 1), Region X of EcoR124I HsdR can be classified as part of the RecB-like nucleases of the PD-(E/D)xxK superfamily. The RecB-like nucleases are defined by four amino acid motifs: I, II, III and QxxxY (Fig. 1). Similar amino acid arrangements are found in many Type I RM enzymes as well as in repair and recombination nucleases. Based on extensive studies on other PD-(E/D)xxK superfamily members, the conserved charged amino acids clustered in motifs I, II and III of EcoR124I HsdR...
can be predicted to be central to the nuclease activity, namely in metal ion coordination and transition-state stabilisation. The QxxxY motif, which is found in many Type I enzymes, may play a role in stabilising the catalytic site near the DNA. 

Previous studies on Type I RM enzymes EcoAI and EcoKI have examined the roles of motifs II and III. For EcoAI, mutation of conserved residues in motif II (D61A) or motif III (E76A or K78A) (Fig. 1) produced HsdR subunits that were incapable of restricting phage growth in vivo, although a slow DNA nicking activity was observed with the K78A in vitro. 

The ATPase and translocase activities of the mutants were judged to be normal. 

For EcoKI, mutation of conserved residues in motif II (D298E) or motif III (E312D, E312H or K314A) (Fig. 1) produced HsdR subunits that were incapable of cleaving DNA either in vivo or in vitro. 

However, the non-conservative mutant E312H had a significantly lower ATPase activity and no measurable translocase activity, suggesting that this mutation has a long-range effect on the helicase domain.

To extend the study on Type I nuclease mutants and their effects on translocase activity, we chose to mutate EcoR124I HsdR at the D151 residue of motif II and the E165 and K167 residues of motif III (Fig. 1). Each residue was individually substituted by a neutral alanine. To extend the collection of mutants, we also generated conservative (E165D) and non-conservative (E165H) substitutions. EcoR124I hsdR was mutated in the expression plasmid pACR124 (see Materials and Methods), which was used either in vivo complementation assays or for expression and purification of mutant HsdR.

**In vivo restriction activity**

To test the activity of our mutant HsdR subunits, we first analysed their restriction phenotype by testing the ability of cells expressing these mutants to restrict the growth of unmodified bacteriophage lambda. For this, we tested if the pACR124 with either WT or mutated HsdR could complement restriction in cells carrying the naturally isolated plasmid R124, which was either native [JM109(DE3) [R124], r+m phenotype] or chemically mutared [JM109(DE3)[R124–25], r–m phenotype]. R124 was chosen for complementation assays to keep the in vivo subunit concentrations as natural as possible. For hsdR expression on pACR124, only the leaky expression in the absence of IPTG was used and found to be sufficient to complement restriction. 

With the application of the assay to r+m and r−m cells, both DNA cleavage and EcoR124I assembly can be tested: an HsdR that is inactive in terms of DNA cleavage but that can still assemble a restriction enzyme complex would not be able to complement restriction in the r+m host (i.e., positive complementation) but would significantly impair restriction in the r−m host (i.e., negative complementation).

### Table 1. Effect on the restriction phenotype of changes in PD-(E/D)xxK motifs II and III of the nuclease domain of EcoR124I HsdR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HsdR</th>
<th>r+m host</th>
<th>r−m host</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D151A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E165A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E165D</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E165H</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K167A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The in vivo restriction activity was quantified as the efficiency of plating, the ratio of the phage titre on the test host to the titre on a non-restricting host. Shown are the outcomes of our analysis of positive complementation in r+m host and negative complementation (transdominant effect) in r−m host.

- The efficiency of plating of λvir::0 on tested strains relative to the efficiency of plating of λvir::0 on E. coli C122 indicator (non-restricting) strain.
- E. coli [JM109(DE3)[R124–25] (r+m).
- E. coli [JM109(DE3)[R124] (r−m).

The complementation tests revealed that only WT hsdR was able to restore a restriction-proficient phenotype in the r+m host (Table 1); the transdominant effect of the mutant HsdR subunit on the WT HsdR, produced by the R124 plasmid, was reflected in a 1000-fold reduction in the level of EcoR124I restriction (Table 1). This indicates that the restriction deficiency of our mutants is not due to a complex assembly defect.

**In vitro DNA cleavage activity**

For in vitro characterisation, complete RM enzymes were reconstituted by mixing the separately purified HsdR and MTase; reconstitution of Type I RM systems in this manner results in enzyme activity identical with that of the holoenzyme. All mutant HsdR subunits showed expression similar to WT HsdR. In electrophoretic mobility shift assays, we found no significant difference in the efficiency of R2 complex formation (i.e., HsdR2HsdM2HsdS2) between the WT and mutant HsdR subunits (data not shown): that is, in vitro assembly of the whole protein complex as monitored by specific DNA binding was not distorted by the mutations. However, it should be noted that, currently, assembly of the different species cannot be directly measured under exactly the same conditions as DNA translocation or cleavage (i.e., in the presence of ATP).

To test the nuclease activity of the HsdR mutants in vitro, we measured DNA cleavage of single-site plasmids (Materials and Methods). We first tested cleavage activity after a fixed incubation time. For the WT HsdR, maximum cleavage (as judged by the production of ~80% linear DNA) can be obtained using a >4-fold molar excess of HsdR relative to the MTase–DNA concentration and a reaction time of ~5 min. An excess of HsdR is required because of the dynamic nature of the HsdR interaction with the
However, to ensure that we could capture any cleavage event that occurred with our mutant enzymes, we increased the molar excess of HsdR to a >20-fold molar excess. No cleavage activity was observed over 5 min with the D151A, E165H or K167A mutant (Fig. 2a). Increasing the HsdR concentration or the incubation time did not alter these results (data not shown). Some partial DNA nicking and the production of a small amount of full-length linear DNA were observed with the E165A and E165D mutants. These results are completely consistent with the in vivo results (Table 1); a DNA nicking activity is insufficient to stop phage infection.

The kinetics of DNA cleavage by WT, E165A and E165D were measured over an extended timescale (Fig. 2b and c; E165A profile not shown). To compare the cleavage rates, we analysed the disappearance of the supercoiled (CCC) DNA using Eq. (1) as described in Materials and Methods (Fig. 2d; Table 2). Both E165A and E165D showed a reduction in the apparent cleavage rate and an increase in the lag offset (Table 2). These observations are consistent with a reduced DNA cleavage rate, although an increased lag can also reflect slower translocation (F. Peske, unpublished observations). The activities of these mutants—slow in vitro DNA nicking and a high efficiency of plating in vivo—are reminiscent of the slow DNA

---

**Fig. 2.** DNA cleavage activity of motif II and III nuclease mutants of EcoR124I. (a) Comparison of cleavage end points of WT and mutant HsdR subunits. Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to analyse the single-site substrate pCFD30 that was incubated for 5 min at 37 °C with saturating amounts of MTase (20 nM) and HsdR (160 nM) in the presence of 4 mM ATP (Materials and Methods). CCC is covalently closed circular DNA (substrate), OC is open circle DNA (product cut in one strand) and FLL is full-length linear DNA (product cut in both strands). (b and c) Comparison of the cleavage time course for WT HsdR (b) and E165D HsdR (c) at 25 °C. pMDS27.3 and saturating MTase (40 nM) and HsdR (100 nM) were preincubated, and the reaction was initiated by the addition of ATP to 4 mM. Samples were removed at the time points indicated and immediately quenched. The DNA substrate and products were then separated by agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified by scintillation counting (Materials and Methods). The different relative mobilities of the FLL fragments reflect different running conditions for each gel (i.e., different values in V cm⁻¹). DNA dimers are a minor contaminant in our plasmid preparations. (d) Fitting of the CCC data from WT (b), E165D (c) and E165A (data not shown) using Eq. (1) as described in Materials and Methods. The fitted parameters are given in Table 2. Under our reaction conditions, DNA cleavage by EcoR124I never goes to completion because of a background inhibition activity that competes with the translocation/cleavage process. Regardless of the observed cleavage rates, very little change in the relative levels of the species is observed beyond ~5–10 min. This has been noted previously with EcoR124I using both WT enzyme and QxxxY motif mutants and has also been observed with EcoKI, but not with EcoAI (F. Peske, unpublished observations). The effect appears to be dependent on changes to the DNA that make it resistant and is not dependent on inhibition of the protein. However, the exact nature of the inhibition of DNA cleavage is unclear and is currently still under investigation (F. Peske, unpublished observations).
Table 2. Kinetic constants for WT and mutant HsdR subunits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA cleavage</th>
<th>DNA translocation velocity</th>
<th>DNA translocation lifetime</th>
<th>ATPase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tweezers</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag offset</td>
<td>Relative first-strand rate $^a$</td>
<td>$A$ ($%$)</td>
<td>$R_{1,\text{app}}$ ($bp \cdot s^{-1}$) (±SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1±1.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D151A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E165A</td>
<td>88.6±3.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E165D</td>
<td>59.0±6.6</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K167A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Rate of one HsdR in an R2 complex (HsdR saturating) measured from triplex displacement.

$^b$ Mean lifetime for all R1 events, regardless of speed.

$^c$ ATP per HsdR assuming a fully saturated system and 100% occupancy.

$^d$ Relative first-strand rate of the MTase relative to $V_{\text{max,MTase}}$, $\frac{V_{\text{max,mutant}}}{V_{\text{max,WT}}}$.

$^e$ Data from Ref. 18. SE indicates standard error from fitted data.

**nickaing activity of the positioned unrelated K78A mutant of EcoAI.**

**DNA translocation activity measured by single-molecule tweezers assays**

The aforementioned results are consistent with a set of mutant enzymes in which the HsdR subunit can still bind the MTase but cannot cleave the DNA properly. Inefficient cleavage could be the result of (a) a disruption in the nuclease active site and/or (b) a disruption in the DNA translocation properties that prevents the long-range interaction of two HsdR subunits that is required to trigger cleavage. To assess if our nuclease mutants had actually affected motor activity rather than cleavage per se, we measured DNA translocation on a single DNA molecule using magnetic tweezers assays as described previously. Since DNA translocation by EcoR124I results in the formation of DNA loops, initiation, translocation and termination events can be visualised as characteristic sawtooth-shaped DNA shortening events (a typical profile is shown in Fig. 3a), with the slope giving the translocation rate and the duration giving the translocation lifetime. The consecutive initiation of translocation rate and the duration giving the shown in Fig. 3 a), with the slope giving the shaped DNA shortening events (a typical profile is visualised as characteristic sawtooth-loops, initiation, translocation and termination by EcoR124I results in the formation of DNA events can be visualised as characteristic sawtooth-shaped DNA shortening events (a typical profile is visualised by a change in the slope (Fig. 3 a).

Mutations of D151 to an alanine slightly reduced the mean translocation rate for R2 events to 943 bp s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3b). The R1 translocation rates were also
reduced, but, strikingly, the rates showed a distinct bimodal distribution, with mean translocation rates of 243 and 490 bp s\(^{-1}\) for the slower and faster populations, respectively (Fig. 3b; Table 2). There were also occasional R\(_2\) events that were significantly slower than the population mean. This indicates that this mutant comprises distinct subpopulations of HsdR subunits with distinct translocation properties. While R\(_1\) events were still shorter lived than R\(_2\) events, the overall lifetimes were longer than observed with the WT enzyme (Fig. 3c; Table 2).
Mutation of E165 to an alanine showed even more extreme effects, with a reduction in the R$_2$ translocation rate to 565 bp s$^{-1}$ and an increased kurtosis in the distribution (Fig. 3b). As with the D151A mutant, a bimodal distribution of R$_1$ rates was observed centred at 130 and 320 bp s$^{-1}$ (Table 2). The increased kurtosis in the R$_2$ rates is likely due to the fact that the R$_2$ events can arise from the combination of different populations: two slow HsdR subunits or two fast HsdR subunits or one fast HsdR subunit and one slow HsdR subunit. The translocation lifetime of the R$_2$ complexes was similar to WT, whereas the R$_1$ complexes showed more than twofold enhanced stability (Fig. 3c; Table 2).

Conservative mutation of E165 to aspartate produced reductions in the translocation rates for the R$_1$ and R$_2$ complexes to 498 and 961 bp s$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 3b; Table 2). While not clearly bimodal, the R$_1$ translocation distribution shows a negative skew that probably accounts for the kurtosis in the R$_2$ distribution. The translocation lifetimes of both species were similar to WT (Fig. 3c; Table 2). These data are the most similar to WT and are compatible with the retention of some nuclease activity (Fig. 2).

Non-conservative mutation of E165 to histidine produced a significant effect, with a clear bimodal distribution of R$_1$ rates (means at 184 and 370 bp s$^{-1}$) and an almost-random distribution of R$_2$ rates (Fig. 3b; Table 2). It was more difficult to confidently assign R$_2$ events because of the different subpopulations of R$_1$ species. The rates observed were similar to those seen with the E165A mutation. As in that case, the reduction in translocation rate was matched by an increase in the event durations for both R$_1$ and R$_2$ events (Fig. 3c; Table 2). Overall, this mutation had the most deleterious effects on translocation of all those examined. We note that the same mutation at the functionally equivalent position in EcoKI (E312H) produced an enzyme that, while still able to bind DNA, actually had no measurable translocase activity at all.

Mutation of K167 to an alanine also produced a bimodal population of R$_1$ species (means at 345 and 577 bp s$^{-1}$), albeit with the faster population equivalent to the rate seen with WT HsdR (Fig. 3b). The mean R$_2$ rate was also equivalent to WT (1139 bp s$^{-1}$), although the distribution showed considerable kurtosis consistent with the bimodal R$_1$ rates. The translocation lifetime for the R$_1$ events was moderately increased, while the lifetime for the R$_2$ events was moderately decreased (Fig. 3c; Table 2).

An alternative method for measuring DNA translocation in bulk solution is the triplex displacement assay (Fig. 4a; Materials and Methods). The principle of this assay is that fluorescent-labelled DNA triplex-forming oligonucleotides (TFOs) are bound downstream of an EcoR124I recognition sequence to form a triplex. HsdR translocation and collision with the triplexes cause displacement of the TFOs, which can be measured by a change in fluorescence. Accurate initiation of translocation is achieved by mixing protein–DNA solutions with ATP in a stopped-flow fluorimeter. Sample triplex displacement profiles at a spacing of 1517 bp on linear DNA are shown for WT HsdR and each mutant in Fig. 4b. Translocation rates were determined using multiple triplex spacings (data not shown) and are reported in Table 2. The HsdR concentration used in the assay is saturating, and the translocation activity measured by bulk-solution triplex assay

For all experiments, HsdR was at 120 nM and MTase was at 30 nM. (a) Cartoon illustrating the principle of the assay, with DNA shown in red, the EcoR124I recognition site shown as a white box, the MTase shown as a light orange ovoid, the HsdR subunits shown as green circles and the TFO shown in black. See the main text for further details. (b) Triplex displacement profiles measured with a stopped-flow fluorimeter using a TAMRA-labelled TFO (0.5 nM) and a linear DNA (1 nM) with a 1517-bp spacing between the EcoR124I and triplex binding sites (Materials and Methods). Translocation rates were calculated using three further intersite spacings (data not shown) and are given in Table 2.
rates reported from the assay are for the translocation of a single HsdR in an R₂ complex (i.e., equivalent to the R₁ rate or half the R₂ rate in the tweezers assay).

From the data obtained in the tweezers assay, the relative rates of the different HsdR subunits can be ordered as (from fastest to slowest R₁ rates): WT = K167A > E165D = D151A > E165H > E165A. The order observed from the triplex assays is similar (Table 2): E165D = K167A > WT > D151A > E165H > E165A. However, while we have previously found very good correspondence between the translocation rates determined from bulk-solution and single-molecule assays, it was striking that here none of the rates determined from the triplex assay was close to those obtained from the tweezers assays, with the former being greater than the latter in all cases. The most likely reason for the observed disparities is the increased kinetic disorder in the mutant HsdR populations (Fig. 3b). Analysis of the triplex data, as with any ensemble kinetic scheme, relies on the assumption that every enzyme has approximately the same kinetic properties (i.e., with a Boltzmann distribution). The lag phase of the triplex assay will report on the population of enzymes that arrived there the quickest. Therefore, a bimodal distribution will produce a lag that corresponds to the fastest subset only, with the slower enzymes contributing to the exponential phase of the reaction. In addition, a broader distribution of rates (as seen with the mutants in Fig. 3b) will result in apparent reductions in the exponential phase of the triplex displacement —this can actually be observed in the kinetic traces for at least some of the mutants (Fig. 4b). Given the complexity of the enzyme distributions revealed by the tweezers assay, it was not feasible to construct full kinetic schemes, as previously reported, that could accurately interpret the triplex displacement traces obtained.

Stalling during initiation observed in single-molecule assays

As well as measuring the translocation rate and lifetime from the tweezers assay, one can also measure the exact time of initiation of an R₁ or R₂ event, and these can be scored additively to give a measure of HsdR activity as a function of time (Fig. 5). While recording the traces for the mutant HsdR, noticeable regions of low activity or even inactivity were observed, generally lasting for hundreds of seconds (e.g., data for E165D in Fig. 5b). These regions are readily visualised in the accumulative event traces in Fig. 5c. For the WT enzyme (Fig. 5a), pausing can last tens of seconds but never on the same timescale as for the mutants. The distribution of times between initiation events is a compound of the second-order HsdR binding rate (to the DNA-bound MTase) and the first-order initiation rate. The longer-lived periods of inactivity/less frequent activity seen with the mutants do not fit into the expected distribution of waiting times. There are two possible causes of longer-lived periods of inactivity: (1) a conformational change in the MTase may prevent HsdR binding. However, this seems less likely as we do not regularly see such events for the WT enzyme, or (2) the association of an HsdR that...
is inactive. The period of inactivity may then represent the MTase binding lifetime of these HsdRs before they dissociate. Alternatively, they may represent a very slow initiation step. In some cases, there is no activity, which may be because two inactive species are bound or because the inactive HsdR prevents binding or initiation of a second HsdR (Fig. 5b, inset). In other cases, some short-lived events are seen (Fig. 5b). This may be because the same slowly initiating HsdR remains bound to the MTase for several hundreds of seconds before dissociating.

**Alterations in the dynamics of HsdR turnover**

Since for each of the mutants there is the possibility of (1) stalling during initiation, (2) reduced translocation rates and (3) altered translocation lifetimes (Figs. 3 and 5; Table 2), it is likely that the dynamics of HsdR turnover is also altered. We tested this using the triplex displacement assay under conditions in which HsdR is subsaturating compared with the concentration of MTase–DNA complexes (Fig. 6). To get complete triplex displacement under these conditions, each HsdR must encounter, translocate and dissociate from multiple MTase–DNA complexes. The observed rate of triplex displacement then reflects the kinetics of each of these processes. Sample profiles are shown for each of the mutants on a linear DNA with a 2093-bp spacing between the triplex and EcoR124I recognition site. With the exception of E165D, all the mutants showed a slower displacement than the WT; consistent with the other assays, the E165H mutant was the slowest, while D151A, E165A and K167A were all similar.

**ATPase activity**

Our results show that mutations in motif II or III produce an enzyme population that includes species that translocate more slowly than expected and/or stall during initiation. We have recently shown that WT EcoR124I uses approximately one ATP per base pair during translocation. The observed ensemble ATPase rate is then related to the rate of translocation and the concentration of translocating species (which is only ~90% populated at a steady state for WT EcoR124I due to terminated HsdR subunits that are in the act of reinitiating translocation). What is the effect of our mutants on the ATPase rates? The slower translocation and/or the stalling during initiation (Fig. 3) may be accompanied by uncoupled ATPase activity—in this case, the ATPase rate of the mutants will be similar to, or possibly more than, the WT. Alternatively, if the subunits remain tightly coupled, then stalling or slower translocation will result in less ATP usage and a slower apparent ATPase rate. To measure the ATPase activity, we utilised the coumarin-labelled phosphate binding protein sensor developed by Martin Webb, which we have used previously to extensively characterise EcoR124I. Each of the mutants showed Michaelis–Menten kinetics (data not shown). The $K_m$ values were all similar (Table 2). More strikingly, for each mutant, the apparent maximum steady-state ATPase rate was significantly lower than that for WT. Moreover, there was no clear relationship between the translocation rates and ATPase rates. The simple explanation for these observations is that the broader distribution of translocation rates, the bimodal population distributions, the stalling events during initiation and the altered HsdR reinitiation dynamics all lead to a lower apparent ATPase rate. Consistent with all the aforementioned results, the lowest measured rate was with E165H—the equivalent EcoKI mutant, E312H, also had a very low apparent ATPase activity.

**Discussion**

**Central role of motifs II and III in DNA hydrolysis by Type I enzymes**

We describe the mutagenesis of motifs II and III of the PD-(E/D)xK nuclease domain of the HsdR subunit of EcoR124I. We observed a significant effect on the DNA cleavage activity of these mutants (Fig. 2; Table 2), consistent with previous studies on EcoAI and EcoKI (Refs. 10,11 and 27) and as predicted by numerous studies on Type II RM enzymes and other related nucleases. However, we also observed significant effects on the ATPase and DNA translocase properties of all the mutant HsdR subunits (Figs. 3–6; Table 2). Since DNA cleavage by
Type I enzymes relies on translocation, it is possible that altered translocation properties will also affect DNA cleavage. For example, the significantly slower translocation of the E165H mutant, in combination with the increased disorder and less populated R2 events, may lead to less successful collisions between pairs of HsdR and, in turn, less/slower cleavage. However, we would argue that all the HsdR subunits still have measurable translocation, with, in some cases, enhanced processivity. Therefore, the chance of reaching a successful collision is still high and the significant effects on cleavage are more likely due to disruptions of the active-site coordination consistent with the predicted roles of these residues.

Disorder in DNA translocation activity produced by Region X mutations

With the advent of single-molecule measurements of enzyme kinetics, any "cosy" assumptions about protein homogeneity have been shattered: significant variations in kinetic rates have been observed both between protein molecules (static disorder) and during the reaction trajectory of a single protein molecule (dynamic disorder). An example of static disorder is the DNA unwinding activity of RecBCD, which has been shown to vary by 1.4- to 5-fold, although this observation is not necessarily consistent with bulk-solution observations. An example of dynamic disorder has been observed for λ exonuclease, where temporal fluctuations over timescales similar to the time constants for the enzymatic rates were observed. In the case of EcoR124I, single-molecule measurements have actually revealed that the WT enzyme population has a relatively narrow distribution of translocation rates, as also seen here using completely different enzyme preparations. This most likely explains the good correspondence between single-molecule and ensemble-averaged values observed with WT HsdR. However, the mutations we studied here have clearly caused increased heterogeneity in the enzyme population and additional effects not seen in the WT protein. Accordingly, the ensemble-averaged ATPase and translocase rates no longer provide an accurate measure of activity. But are the disorder effects static or dynamic in nature? The observation that each EcoR124I motor event is initiated by a new HsdR recruited from solution suggests the presence of static populations since no velocity change was found during translocation events.

The Type I RM enzymes have been mooted as potential tools for the assembly of "nanomachines." One additional goal of these studies might have been to provide a "safe" molecular motor, one that would not cut its own DNA track during translocation. What our studies also reveal is that disconnecting different enzyme activities is not trivial. Mutations of other nuclease residues (i.e., in motif I or QxxXY) may be better suited to the aims of synthetic biologists. As it turns out, the WT Type I enzymes are well suited in this regard anyway, as they rarely cut linear DNA when only one recognition site is present.

Interpreting the interrelationship between catalytic domains in HsdR

The results presented here show that mutations in the nuclease domain of the HsdR subunit can have multiple effects on the distant helicase domain, namely, reductions in the apparent ATPase rates, the appearance of increased heterogeneity and/or bimodal enzyme populations, reductions in the translocation rate, decreases in the off rate, stalling of enzymes during initiation and slower HsdR turnover. In combination, these are significant changes to the enzyme. Previous studies on Region X mutants in EcoKI and EcoAI used ensemble techniques to monitor translocation, where, as seen here (viz. the K167A mutant), it is more difficult to resolve rate and stalling heterogeneity and enzymes with abnormal population distributions can have normal-looking behaviour. Nonetheless, it should be noted that the E312H mutant of EcoKI (equivalent to the E165H mutant of EcoR124I) showed drastic reductions in its ATPase activity and no measurable translocase activity. Moreover, alterations in the efficiency and location of DNA cleavage observed with EcoAI nuclease mutants are also consistent with reduced translocation efficiency/increased heterogeneity.

As the helicase domain of HsdR contains all the motifs predicted to be required for mechanochromical coupling, we would not expect mutations in region X to completely ablate DNA translocation activity. On the other hand, the effects are not consistent with the endonuclease and helicase domains being completely autonomous entities. We therefore discuss two possible reasons for why changes to the nuclease domain may affect the distant helicase domain: (1) changes in overall protein fold/conformation brought about by disruptions in the packing of residues in the nuclease catalytic pocket or (2) direct interactions of the nuclease domains with the helicase/DNA during translocation.

The first, and more simple, explanation for our observations is that the mutations we introduced had a detrimental effect on the conformation of the HsdR subunit. This cannot be a complete unfolding of the polypeptide as the in vivo complementation assays show that the mutants can compete with WT HsdR for binding to the MTase—this would seem unlikely if the HsdR was not at least partially folded. Similarly, the translocation-inactive EcoKI nuclease mutant E312H was still able to bind DNA with an affinity equivalent to that of WT. However, it is possible to imagine changes in conformational dynamics that would still allow MTase binding without allowing DNA translocation. We certainly observed occasional long-lived stalling events that might be consistent with such an inactive population. However, we also observed a large proportion of translocation events, albeit with increased rate...
heterogeneity and altered properties. It may be the case that the conformations of the mutant HsdR still allow translocation but are more dynamic, resulting in greater heterogeneity in rates and occasional lapses into inactivity. Following protein purification, an enzymologist will often judge the purity of the resulting preparation by calculating a “specific activity” (SA), defined as the amount of active protein as a fraction of the total protein. A classic view is that when the protein is judged to be pure by gel electrophoresis, any reduction in SA measured using an enzyme assay could be due to an “inactive” population of molecules. We certainly saw events that could be attributed to such species in our studies. However, our results also show that changes in SA could arise because of changes in heterogeneity; this will only be revealed clearly by single-molecule approaches.

The second explanation for our observations is that there is a close mechanistic interrelationship between the nuclease and helicase domains during motion on DNA. While many isolated helicase domains still retain motor activity, full activity usually requires the cooperation of other factors (to load the motor, retain motor activity, full activity usually requires the nuclease and helicase domains during motion on DNA. For example, we have previously shown that EcoR124I translocation relies on protein–protein and/or protein–DNA interactions by the nuclease domain that are disrupted by Region X mutations. For example, we have previously shown that EcoR124I translocates along the 3′–5′ strand of intact double-stranded DNA but that interactions with the 5′-3′ strand are also important in maintaining processivity.23 The identity of the proposed “processivity clamp” is not known, but its role could be played by the nuclease domain. If mutations within the processivity clamp were to cause it to grip the DNA more tightly, then possible outcomes would be a slowing of the translocation rate (forward steps would fail against an internal roadblock) and/or an increase in processivity (disassociation of the motor would be prevented by the enhanced DNA binding). This is exactly what was observed in the majority of cases with our mutants. It has been noted previously with PD-(E/D)xK motif mutants of Type II RM enzymes that a reduction in charge local to the DNA can increase DNA affinity.35 This could at least explain the observations with the alanine substitutions at D151 and E165 (Fig. 3). One evolutionary advantage therefore of fusing nuclease and helicase domains might be that the resulting single polypeptide is more than the sum of its parts—the helicase motor activity can be improved with the help of the adjoining nuclease.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and microbiological techniques

Phage buffer, complex LB medium and in vivo restriction and modification assays were as described previously.36 The solid medium used was LB medium plus 1.5% (w/v) agar; the soft agar overlay used was LB medium plus 0.6% (w/v) agar. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: 100 μg ml−1 of ampicillin, 50 μg ml−1 of chloramphenicol and 12.5 μg ml−1 of tetracycline. For the complementation assay, the IncFIV plasmid R124 carrying the EcoR124I hsd genes17 and its derivative R124–25, which carries a mutation in the hsdR gene (see below), were introduced into JM109(DE3) by conjugation. The virulent mutant of phage λ was used for testing restriction efficiency.28 Complementation assays were carried out in JM109(DE3) in the absence of IPTG (the leaky background level of HsdR expression has been found to be sufficient for restriction activity in vivo).5 The strain C122 (proV Δhsd, British Culture Collection strain no. 122) or C122 plus R124 plasmids served for in vivo modification assays. The modification specificity of R124 was estimated as the efficiency of plating of phage λ produced by the tested strain and measured on Escherichia coli C122[R124] and C122 indicator strains.

HsdR mutagenesis and protein purification

For the in vitro assays, the MTase and the WT and mutant derivatives of HsdR were overproduced from plasmids pJ54M49 and pACR124,17 respectively, and purified from E. coli JM109(DE3) using procedures described previously.36 Reconstitution of WT and mutant endonucleases was produced by mixing of the purified HsdR subunit and MTase in appropriate assay reaction buffers. HsdR mutants were generated from pACR124 with QuickChange XL site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene) using the following oligodeoxynucleotide primers, where the mutated residues are in bold and the mutated codon is underlined (only the top strand is shown; the bottom strand was the complement sequence): 5′-CACGG- TAAACCGTTATGGCTGTACEACTCTGGTATAAGG-3′ for D151A; 5′-CCCGCTGGTACAATCGGCACGTGAAAAACCGGCC-3′ for E165A; 5′-CCGGCTGTCAAAATGCGACCTGAAACACCGGC-3′ for E165D; 5′-CCGGCTGTGACAATCGGCACGTGAAACACCGGC-3′ for E165H; and 5′-GGGCTAATATGCAACTGGCAAAAACA- GCGGGCCTGGC-3′ for K167A. All mutants were fully sequenced and then expressed and purified as for the WT enzyme.

For the in vivo assays, plasmid R124–25 was prepared by chemical mutagenesis as described for EcoKI.36 The bacterial strain used in our experiments was E. coli Qr47-3Δ(hsdR-S) (a derivative of E. coli Qr47)37 carrying the plasmid R124 with the complete EcoR124I system. For screening potential r− mutants, “spot tests” were used as described previously.42 Individual r− clones were analysed for modification function, and those with an r− phenotype were conjugated into JM109(DE3) and analysed using a complementation assay. The restriction-deficient phenotype of JM109(DE3) [R124–25] was positively complemented by a WT hsdR gene introduced on pACR124.

In vitro DNA cleavage assay

As a substrate for DNA cleavage in vitro, one-site plasmids pCFD3043 and pMDS27.32 were used. Nuclease activity was analysed at either 37±0.1 or 25±0.1 °C in buffer R (50 mM Tris–Cl, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl2 and 1 mM DTT) using 5 nM DNA, 40 nM MTase and ≥100 nM HsdR. Following a brief preincubation (~5 min), reactions were started by addition of ATP to a final concentration of 4 mM, and aliquots were quenched at the indicated times...
by addition of 0.5 volumes of STEB [0.1 M Tris–Cl, pH 8.0, 0.2 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 40% (w/v) sucrose and 0.4 mg/ml of bromophenol blue]. The covalently closed circular DNA substrate (CCC), open circle/nicked intermediate (OC) and full-length linear product (FL) were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, and the percentage of DNA in each band was evaluated by scintillation counting or by densitometry of the ethidium bromide fluorescence.

We analysed the apparent rate of CCC cleavage using the following formula:\(^5\)

\[
y = A \left(1 - e^{-k_{\text{cut,app}}(t - \text{offset})}\right) \tag{1}
\]

where \(y\) represents the appearance of the cleaved DNA, \(A\) is the percentage of DNA cut, \(k_{\text{cut,app}}\) is the apparent cleavage rate and “offset” is a time lag that represents the continuum of initiation/translocation/collision states prior to cleavage. The \(y\) values were calculated by subtracting the CCC concentration at each time point from the starting concentration and normalising the result to a 100% scale. The data that were not part of the lag phase were fitted to Eq. (3) with \(A\), \(k_{\text{cut,app}}\) and “offset” allowed to float.

**Magnetic tweezers measurements**

Magnetic tweezers experiments using 2.8-μm magnetic beads (Dynal) were carried out in buffer R supplemented with 4 mM ATP as described previously.\(^21\) The DNA substrate was prepared as described previously\(^21\) by cutting plasmid pSFV1 with SpeI and BamHI, providing a 10.2-kbp fragment with a single EcoR124I site 2.4 kbp from the BamHI site. The fragment was ligated to 600-bp-long biotinylated and digoxigenated PCR fragments cut from the BamHI site. The MTase concentration was 20 nM, the HsdR concentration was 120 nM HsdR, 100 μM HsdR ATPase activity by rapid mixing in the SF61-DX2 stopped-flow fluorimeter using the coumarin-labeled phosphate binding protein as described previously.\(^30,31\) Reactions were initiated by mixing equal volumes of a DNA plus enzyme solution with an ATP solution to give final conditions of 0.2 nM ApaI-linearised DNA (pLKS5 or pTYB11), 60 nM MTase, 200 nM HsdR, 0–1200 μM ATP, 8 μM phosphate binding protein, 0.01 U/ml of bacterial purine nucleoside phosphorylase, 0.2 mM 7-methyl-guanosine and buffer R at 25±0.1 °C. The response of the fluorimeter was calibrated using titration of a Pi standard\(^31\) and was shown to be linear across the range measured (data not shown). Linear steady-state phases of the ATPase profiles were fitted to:\(^18\)

\[
C_p = \frac{1}{k_{\text{ATP}}} t + \tau_{\text{ini}} \tag{4}
\]

where \(C_p\) is the phosphate concentration, \(k_{\text{ATP}}\) is the macroscopic steady-state ATPase rate, \(\tau_{\text{ini}}\) is the macroscopic initiation delay time and \(t\) is the reaction time. Rates obtained on specific one-site DNA (linearised pLKS5) were corrected for background HsdR ATPase activity by subtracting rates determined in parallel on the non-specific DNA (linearised pTYB11). (pLKS5 and pTYB11 differ by only ~2%). The ATP-dependent rates obtained were fitted to a Michaelis–Menten relationship:

\[
v = \frac{V_{\text{max}}[\text{ATP}]}{k_{\text{in}} + [\text{ATP}]} \tag{5}
\]

**Triple-helix displacement assay**

Triple-helix displacement measurements were carried out in an SF61-DX2 stopped-flow fluorimeter as described previously.\(^19,20,27\) Reactions were initiated by mixing equal volumes of a DNA and enzyme solution with an ATP solution to give final conditions of 1 nM linear DNA (plus 0.5 nM tetramethylrhodamine TFO), 30 nM MTase, 0.4 mg/ml of bromophenol blue. The covalently closed circular DNA substrate (CCC), open circle/nicked intermediate (OC) and full-length linear product (FL) were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, and the percentage of DNA in each band was evaluated by scintillation counting or by densitometry of the ethidium bromide fluorescence.

\[
y = A_1 \left(1 - e^{-k_{\text{ini}}(t - T_{\text{lag}})}\right) + A_2 \left(1 - e^{-k_{\text{cut}}(t - T_{\text{app}})}\right) + A_3 \left(1 - e^{-k_{\text{trans}}(t - T_{\text{app}})}\right) \tag{2}
\]

where \(k_{\text{ini}}\) and \(A_1\) are the rate and amplitude, respectively, of the nth phase and \(T_{\text{lag}}\) is the sum of all time constants for the initiation and translocation steps.\(^27\) \(k_{\text{step}}\) (the translocation speed) and \(k_{\text{cut,app}}\) (the initiation speed) were then determined from the linear relationship between \(T_{\text{lag}}\) and distance \((d, \text{bp})\):

\[
T_{\text{lag}} = \left(\frac{1}{k_{\text{step}}}ight) d + \left(\frac{1}{k_{\text{ini}}}\right) \tag{3}
\]

\(k_{\text{ini}}\) values were determined from reactions at each of four triplex spacings (315, 954, 1517 and 2093 bp) by using ApaI-linearised pLKS5.\(^44\)

**ATPase assay**

ATPase activity was measured by rapid mixing in the SF61-DX2 stopped-flow fluorimeter using the coumarin-labelled phosphate binding protein as described previously.\(^20,31\) Reactions were initiated by mixing equal volumes of a DNA plus enzyme solution with an ATP solution to give final conditions of 0.2 nM ApaI-linearised DNA (pLKS5 or pTYB11), 60 nM MTase, 200 nM HsdR, 0–1200 μM ATP, 8 μM phosphate binding protein, 0.01 U/ml of bacterial purine nucleoside phosphorylase, 0.2 mM 7-methyl-guanosine and buffer R at 25±0.1 °C. The response of the fluorimeter was calibrated using titration of a Pi standard\(^31\) and was shown to be linear across the range measured (data not shown). Linear steady-state phases of the ATPase profiles were fitted to:\(^18\)

\[
C_p = \frac{1}{k_{\text{ATP}}} t + \tau_{\text{ini}} \tag{4}
\]

where \(C_p\) is the phosphate concentration, \(k_{\text{ATP}}\) is the macroscopic steady-state ATPase rate, \(\tau_{\text{ini}}\) is the macroscopic initiation delay time and \(t\) is the reaction time. Rates obtained on specific one-site DNA (linearised pLKS5) were corrected for background HsdR ATPase activity by subtracting rates determined in parallel on the non-specific DNA (linearised pTYB11). (pLKS5 and pTYB11 differ by only ~2%). The ATP-dependent rates obtained were fitted to a Michaelis–Menten relationship:

\[
v = \frac{V_{\text{max}}[\text{ATP}]}{k_{\text{in}} + [\text{ATP}]} \tag{5}
\]
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